

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
ENGLISH ABSTRACT	iv
THAI ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Statement and significance of the problem	1
1.2 Objectives	1
1.3 Conceptual framework	1
1.4 Term definitions	3
CHAPTER 2 LISTERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome	5
2.2 Porcine pleuropneumonia	8
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Study population	11
3.2 Biological samples and sampling	11
3.5 Study design	13
3.6 Laboratory test	14

	Page
3.7 Outcome measurement	21
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	
4.1 Detection antibody against PRRS virus and APP	22
4.2 Detection of PRRS virus and APP genome	27
4.3 Performance monitoring	27
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSIONS	30
REFERENCES	39
CURRICULUM VITAE	44

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Apx toxins produced by different serotypes of <i>Actinobacillus pleuropneumonia</i>	9
2.2 Comparative merits of diagnostic tools for <i>Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae</i>	10
3.1 The number of sample animals for seroprevalence evaluation during 2003 through 2006	15
3.2 Study timeline from March 2004 to December 2006	16
3.3 Position and sequences of primers used for PCR amplification of the omlA gene	23
4.1 Seroprevalence (%) of PRRS and APP in sows and finishing pigs as determined by ELISA test during the years 2003 to 2006	30
4.2 Production performance in piglets at weaning and fattening at market weight from years 2003 through 2006	36
4.3 Proportion of pigs and means of % value of APP serological results from ELISA at difference ages of herd in years 2003 through 2006	33
4.4 Antibody response to APP infection. Values represent means and standard errors of ELISA % value in years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006: (A) breeding sows, (B) finishing pigs	34
4.5 The development of litter weaning weight (kg) from 2003 through 2006	36

LIST OF FIGURES


Figure	Page
1.1 PRRS control and eradication intervention strategies	2
2.1 Pathogenesis of PRRS virus infection	6
3.1 HerdChek test procedure	18
3.2 Chekit test procedure	19
4.1 Proportion of pigs with PRRS serological results from ELISA at different ages of herd from years 2003 through 2006	31
4.2 Antibody response to PRRS virus infection. Values represent means and standard errors of ELISA s/p ratios in years 2003,2004, 2005 and 2006: (A) breeding sows, (B) finishing pigs	32

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

AIAO	All-in, all-out
Apx	Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae exotoxin
APP	<i>Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae</i>
Bp	Base pair
CFU	Colony forming unit
°C	Degree Celsius
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
g	Gram
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
h	Hours
IFA	Indirect fluorescent antibody test
IPMA	Immunoperoxidase monolayer assay
kDa	Kiodalton
kg	Kilogram
LC-LPS ELISA	Long-chain lipopolysaccharide enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
LWG	Litter weight gain
min.	Minute
ml	Milliliters
mM	Milimole
NAD	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NHC	Normal host cell
OD	Optical dencity
ORF	Open reading frame
%	Percentage
ppm.	Part per million
PRRS	Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome
PAMs	Porcine alveolar macrophage
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
P1-P5	Sow's parity 1st to 5th
P6+	Sow's parity 6th and over
pmol	Pico mole
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RT-PCR	Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
S	Second
s:p ratio	Sample/positive ratio
SVN	Serum virus neutralization test
µg	Microgram

μ l
 μ M
W
w/v

Microliter
Micromole
Week old
Weight by volume



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved