CHAPTER V

RISKS AND TREND ANALYSIS

This chapter will explore risks and analyze trends of yield, prices, costs, incomes, and gross margin in the future. Coefficients of variation will be used indicators of risks. Simple regression will be used for trend analysis of rice price, yields, income, and gross margin.

5.1 Risk and coefficient of variation

To understand risks in rice production variations in yields, prices cost, income, and gross margin of different rice varieties were explored. Rice prices, yields, costs, income, and gross margin over the past 6 years were examined and their estimates of coefficients of variation for different rice varieties, namely RD15, KDML105, and RD6.

Price of rice, both market price and government support price, are shown for the years 2000/2001 - 2005/2006 in Table 5.1. Coefficient of variation (CV) of rice price is also shown. It is shown that under government support price program, risks price are lower than under market prices for RD15 and KDML105. Using market prices, the rice which the highest price risk is KDML105 (CV=19.36%) although price risk of RD15 is also as high as KDML105 (CV=19.17%). Under market price, RD6 has the lowest coefficient of variation (CV=10.08%). Under government support price, rice with highest price risk is also KDML105 (CV=18.59%), while RD15 has second highest price risk (CV=15%), and RD6 has the lowest price risk (CV=12.31%).

Table 5.4 shows rice yield risk. RD15 has the highest yield risk with CV = 11.38 %, while RD6 is the lowest yield risk (CV = 7.37 %). KDML105 has also a low yield risk with CV = 7.73 %.

Cost of rice, both rainfed area and irrigated area are displayed for the year 2000/2001 - 2005/2006 in Table 5.3. Coefficient of variation (CV) of rice cost is also shown. It is shown that in rainfed area, cost risks are lower than in irrigated. RD15 cost risk is the highest in both rainfed area and irrigated area with coefficient of variation of CV = 22.26 and 30.67 % respectively. RD6 has the lowest cost risk with CV = 12.10 % in rainfed area, and in irrigated area CV = 14.93 %. KDML105 coefficient of variation in rainfed area is 20.77 %, and in irrigated area 21.42 %.

Considering gross margin under market price, rice with the highest gross margin risk is RD6 with coefficient of variation of CV = 33.84 % in rainfed area, and in irrigated area 30.38 %. RD15 coefficient of variation is 25.14 % in rainfed area, and in irrigated area 22.05 %. KDML105 has the lowest gross margin risk in rainfed area and in irrigated area with coefficient of variation of CV=18.90 and 15.85% respectively. Under government support price program, RD15 is the highest gross margin risk with coefficient of variation of CV = 28.31 % in rainfed area, and in irrigated area 24.38 %. KDML105 is the lowest gross margin risk irrigated with coefficient of variation 22.39 % and in rainfed area of 26.17%. RD6 has coefficient variation of 23.24 and 23.34% in rainfed area and irrigated area respectively (Table 5.4). In term of gross margin, RD6 has higher risk under market price than under government support price.

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University AII rights reserved

	1	Market price		Govern	ment support p	rice						
Year		baht										
	RD15	KDML105	RD6	RD15	KDML105	RD6						
2000/01	8.460	8.460	5.202	5.014	6.495	5.748						
2001/02	5.685	5.685	5.254	4.766	6.900	5.775						
2002/03	5.727	5.728	5.927	5.064	6.667	5.775						
2003/04	8.137	8.096	5.492	5.064	6.900	5.775						
2004/05	8.692	8.765	5.898	6.400	8.900	7.100						
2005/06	8.653	8.714	6.759	6.700	9.900	7.400						
SD	1.449	1.466	0.580	0.825	1.418	0.771						
\overline{X}	7.559	7.575	5.755	5.501	7.627	6.262						
CV(%)	19.17	19.36	10.08	15.00	18.59	12.31						
Source: Calcu	lation	KV.			A							
			E	Λ	6							
Table 5.2 Yie	ld and coeff	icient of variat	tion of rice	e vield	5							

Table 5.1 Price and coefficient of variation of rice price

		33 6	Yield	
Year		690 69	baht/ <i>rai</i>	
		RD15	KDML105	RD6
2000/01	Car D	644.33	545.22	542.00
2001/02		541.58	554.70	616.87
2002/03	< <	489.63	495.14	533.60
2003/04	รมหาวิท	470.08	502.35	499.94
2004/05		521.00	445.00	530.00
2005/06	t [©] by Ch	539.48	509.61	522.98
SD	i a h t a	60.800	39.325	39.859
\overline{X}	ignis	534.35	508.67	540.90
CV(%)		11.38	7.73	7.37

 Table 5.2 Yield and coefficient of variation of rice yield

Source: Calculation

â C A

			Co	ost		
	RE	015	KDM	L105	RI	D6
		- 01	bah	t/rai		
	Rainfed	Irrigated	Rainfed	Irrigated	Rainfed	Irrigated
Year	area	area	area	area	area	area
2000/01	1,547	1,271	1,392	1,521	1,980	2,022
2001/02	1,656	1,378	1,797	1,963	1,669	1,704
2002/03	1,136	945	1,523	1,521	1,556	1,589
2003/04	1,722	1,432	2,156	2,153	1,960	2,001
2004/05	1,867	1,533	2,235	2,442	2,078	2,122
2005/06	2,280	2,308	2,343	2,561	2,359	2,408
SD	1,708.47	1,486.76	1,907.56	1,963.92	1,848.69	1,974.17
\overline{X}	380.22	455.97	396.2	420.77	223.75	294.83
CV(%)	22.26	30.67	20.77	21.42	12.10	14.93

Table 5.3 Cost and coefficient of variation of rice cost

Source: Calculation

The MAI

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

			9	28	181	26	2			J	Jnit: baht
Item	Land type	Rice variety	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	\overline{X}	SD	CV (%)
		RD15	1,356	1,196	1,462	1,822	2,329	2,045	1,695	426.05	25.14
Under	Rainfed area	KDML105	1,447	1,094	1,077	1,573	1,340	1,728	1,376	260.09	18.90
market	6	RD6	769	1,491	1,528	717	970	1,088	1,094	349.10	31.91
price		RD15	1,417	1,263	1,460	1,849	2,351	2,013	1,716	380.51	22.05
	Irrigated area	KDML105	1,475	1,088	1,223	1,782	1,331	1,736	1,502	233.98	15.58
		RD6	848	1,595	1,631	794	1,060	1,190	1,186	360.31	30.38
		RD15	1,845	1,053	1,466	777	1,633	1,515	1,374	389.13	28.31
Under	Rainfed area	KDML105	1,877	1,736	1,524	1,044	1,421	2,314	1,653	394.83	23.89
government		RD6	1,261	2,037	1,650	1,044	1,838	1,668	1,583	368.01	23.24
support		RD15	2,264	1,446	1,767	1,172	2,114	1,459	1,695	413.18	24.38
price	Irrigated area	KDML105	1,886	1,719	1,655	1,182	1,369	2,293	1,747	391.15	22.39
â	aar	RD6	1,278	2,068	1,675	1,056	1,864	1,691	1,605	374.73	23.34
Source: Calcu	lation	ght®	b	y (Chi	ang	M	ai l	Jniv	/er	sity
Α		r i	g	h t	S		· e	S	e r		e d

Table 5.4 Gross margin and coefficient of variation for each rice variety, 2000/01 – 2005/06

From the above analysis, it appears that the rice variety with the highest yield risk, measured by coefficient of variation, is RD15 while the lowest yield risk is RD6. In term of price risks under market price and under government support price, the rice variety with the highest price risk is KDML105 while RD6 has the lowest price risk. In rainfed area and in irrigated area, the rice variety with the highest cost risk is RD15 while RD6 has the lowest cost risk. Considering gross margin under market price in both rainfed area and in irrigated area, the rice variety with the highest gross margin risk is RD6 while KDML105 has the lowest gross margin risk. Under government support price program, RD15 has the highest gross margin risk while KDML105 has the lowest gross margin risk and cost risk and the government gives priority to KDML105 rice, KDML105 has the low gross margin risk.

5.2 Trend Analysis

Simple regression was used for trend analysis. Table 5.5 shows regression result of market price as dependent variable. Year is independent variable the period 1994/95 to 2007/08. Market price shows an increasing trend with a coefficient of 0.22 against trend variable. At the significant level of 95%, market price of KDML105 will increase 0.222 baht/kg/year (R square = 33.9%). RD15 price also shows an increasing trend with $R^2 = 33.7$ %. RD6 market price also has an increasing trend with coefficient of 0.164 against trend variable at the significant level of 95%.

T 11 F F	C! 1	•		•		•	1	1 4	
Table 5 5	Simple	regression	recult	neino	market	nrice	ac den	endent	variable
1 and 5.5	ompic	I CEI COSIUII	ICSUIL	using	marnet	price of	as ucp	chucht	variable
		0		0		1			

Rice variety	Constant	Coefficient	\mathbf{R}^2
RD15	-432.89**	0.220**	0.337
KDML105	-436.42**	0.222**	0.339
O RD6 Ight	-323.01*	0.164**	niver _{0.285}
(Baht/kg)	hts	rasa	rved
** Significant at 5% 💍	Πίβ		
* Significant at 10%			

Source: Calculation

Under government support price, simple regression result is displayed in Table 5.6. Independent variable is year 2000/01 to 2007/08. The regression results show that the price increases with time with a higher R². KDML105 price increases 0.426 baht/kg/year (R² = 88.1%). RD15 price increases 0.452 baht/kg/year (R² = 69.7%), and RD6 price increases 0.315 baht/kg/year (R² = 85.2%). KDML105 price trends to increase at the fastest rate under government support price program.

 Rice variety
 Constant
 Coefficient
 R²

 RD15
 -848.28***
 0.426***
 0.881

 KDML105
 -896.83***
 0.452***
 0.697

0.351***

0.852

Table 5.6 Simple regression result using support price as dependent variable

-696.72***

RD6

(Baht/kg)

*** Significant at 1%

Source: Calculation

In term of yields, the increase of yield through time is not demonstrated from the regression results (Table 5.7).

	•				
Table 5 7 Nimn	le regression	recult using	rice vield	l as denendent	t variahle
Table Ser Ship	ie regression	result using	The yield	as acpendent	i yanabic

Rice variety	Constant	Coefficient	R^2
RD15	-3409NS	1.964NS	0.042
KDML105	-5505NS	2.99NS	0.075
RD6	-9529NS	5.02NS	0.253
(Kg/rai)	BUCL	19100	UINI
NS = not significant			

Note=Independent varia	ble is y	year 1	991/9	2 to 2005	/06.	al	U	nı	ve	rsli	IY
Source: Calculation	h	t	S	r	e	S	e	r	V	e	d

In term of trend analysis, under market price and government support price, KDML105 tends to be the highest rate of increase but RD6 tends to be the lowest rate of increase. As for rice yield, there seem to be no demonstrated increase through time from the regression results. A summary of risk situation as presented by estimates of CV can be seen in table 5.8. Here risk can be categorized in to high, medium and low group. The medium market price risk group consists of RD15 and KDML105 while the low market price risk is RD6. Under government support price, the medium risk is also RD15 and KDML105. RD6 with government support price has low price risk. Classification by level of yield risk produces low yield risk in RD15, KDML105 and RD6. In term of cost risk, RD15, KDML105 and RD6 have medium cost risk in rainfed area while in irrigated area RD15 has high cost risk, KDML105 has medium cost risk, and RD6 has low cost risk. Considering gross margin risk under market price in rainfed area, rice with the high gross margin risks are RD15 and RD6, and the rice variety with medium gross margin risk is KDML105. In irrigated area, rice with the high gross margin risk sate RD15 has high gross margin risks are RD15 and RD6, and the rice variety with medium gross margin risk is RD6, and the rice variety with medium gross margin risk in rainfed area and medium in irrigated area while KDML105 and RD6 have medium gross margin risk in rainfed area and medium in irrigated area while KDML105 and RD6 have medium gross margin risk in rainfed area and medium in irrigated area.

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

The MAI

Risk item	RD15	KDML105	RD6
Price risk			
- Market price	Medium	Medium	Low
ab	19.17	19.36	10.08
- Government support price	Medium	Medium	Low
	15.00	18.59	12.31
Yield risk	Low	Low	Low
	11.38	7.73	7.37
Cost risk			
Rainfed area	Medium	Medium	Medium
	22.26	20.77	12,10
- Irrigated area	High	Medium	Low
	30.67	21.42	14.93
Gross margin risk			5
- Rainfed area	-111	1	
- Market price	High	Medium	High
	25.14	18.90	31.91
- Government support price	High	Medium	Medium
	28.31	23.89	23.24
- Irrigated area			
- Market price	Medium	Medium	High
งสุทธิมหาวิท	22.05	15.58	30.38
- Government support price	Medium	Medium	Medium
opyright by Ch	24.38	22.39	23.34
Source: Calculation		ese	rveo
Note: Low $= CV < 15\%$			
$Medium = 15\% \le CV \le 25\%$			
High $= CV > 25\%$			

Table 5.8 Classification of level of price, yield, cost and gross margin risk in each rice variety