# TABLE OF CONTENTS


Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT . . . . . i

ABSTRACT . . . . . iii

THAI ABSTRACT . . . . . vi

TABLE OF CONTENS . . . . . ix

LIST OF TABLES . . . . . xiii

LIST OF FIGURES . . . . . xviii

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES . . . . . xix

CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION . . . . . 1

1.1 Statement of Problems . . . . . 1

1.2 Rationale . . . . . 4

1.3 Objectives . . . . . 5

1.4 Literature Review . . . . . 6

CHAPTER II : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY . . . . . 11

2.1 Scope of the Study . . . . . 11

2.2 Theoretical Framework . . . . . 11

2.2.1 A Production Function . . . . . 12

2.2.2 Elasticity of Inputs . . . . . 13

2.2.3 Returns to Scale . . . . . 15

2.2.4 Optimal Output Combination . . . . . 16

2.3 Data Collection and Sampling Method . . . . . 18

2.3.1 Conducting Agroecosystem Analysis and RRA Survey . 18

2.3.2 Conducting the Formal Survey with a Structured Questionnaire . . . . . 18

2.3.3 Sampling Methods . . . . . 19

2.4 Analytical Tools . . . . . 20



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

2.4.1 Estimation of a Production Function. . . . . 20

2.4.2 Farm Planning Model . . . . . 21

CHAPTER III : NATURAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENTS . . . . . 22

3.1 The Project Area and its Location: . . . . . 22

3.2 Physical Environment Characteristics . . . . . 25

3.2.1 Topography . . . . . 25

3.2.2 Soil Characteristics and Land Suitability . . . . . 26

3.2.2.1 Land Suitability for Upland Crops . . . . . 27

3.2.2.2 Land Suitability for Orchards . . . . . 27

3.2.3 Rainfall and Temperature . . . . . 30

3.3 Socio-economic Profile . . . . . 31

3.3.1 Chom Thong Land Reform Farm Members . . . . . 31

3.3.2 Family Profiles . . . . . 32

3.3.3 Household Labor . . . . . 33

3.3.4 Land and Farm Sizes . . . . . 35

3.3.5 Land Utilization . . . . . 35

3.3.6 Capital . . . . . 38

3.3.7 Occupations . . . . . 38

CHAPTER IV : FARM PRODUCTION AND RETURN . . . . . 41

4.1 The Existing Cropping Systems . . . . . 41

4.2 Production, Costs and Returns . . . . . 44

4.2.1 Soybean Production . . . . . 45

4.2.1.1 Input Utilization in Soybean Production . . . . 46

4.2.1.2 Cost and Returns to Soybean Production . . . . 54

4.2.2 Tobacco Production . . . . . 57

4.2.2.1 Input Utilization in Tobacco Production . . . . 58

4.2.2.2 Costs and Returns to Tobacco Production . . . . 60

4.2.3 Tomato Production . . . . . 62

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

4.2.3.1 Input Utilization in Tomato Production . . . . 62
4.2.3.2 Costs and Returns on Tomato Production . . . . 63
4.2.4 Mango Production . . . . . 64
4.3 Household Income . . . . . 67
4.4 Summary . . . . . 70
CHAPTER V : OPTIMAL FARM PLANNING . . . . . 72
5.1 The Objective Function . . . . . 73
5.2 Activities . . . . . 73
5.2.1 Crop Activities . . . . . 73
5.2.2 Crop Production Activities . . . . . 74
5.2.3 Total Production Cost Activity (CST) . . . . . 74
5.2.4 Hired Labor Activities ($HL_1$, $HL_2$) . . . . . 75
5.2.5 Wage Labor Activities ($IO_1$, $IO_2$) . . . . . 75
5.2.6 Total Net Income Activity (IT) . . . . . 75
5.2.7 Consumption and Expense Activity (C) . . . . . 75
5.2.8 Cash Transfer Activity (CTR) . . . . . 76
5.3 Constraints . . . . . 76
5.3.1 Land Constraint (A) . . . . . 76
5.3.2 Labor Constraint (WF) . . . . . 76
5.3.3 Capital Constraint (K) . . . . . 77
5.3.4 Wage Labor Constraint (WL) . . . . . 78
5.3.5 Yield, Workforce, Cash Cost Constraints . . . . . 78
5.3.6 Consumption Constraint (CON) . . . . . 79
5.4 Basic Optimum Farm Plan (BFP) . . . . . 83
5.5 Sensitivity Analysis . . . . . 86
5.5.1 Changes in Farm Size . . . . . 86
5.5.2 Changes in Farm Labor . . . . . 88
5.5.3 Changes in Prices . . . . . 92

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

5.5.3.1 Changes in Tobacco Price . . . . . 92
5.5.3.2 Changes in Tomato Price . . . . . 94
5.5.3.3 Changes in Mango Price . . . . . 96
5.6 Farm Planning for the Northern Zone of Chom Thong LRA . 98
5.7 Sensitivity Analysis for the Basic Farm Plan in Northern LRA . . . . . 100
5.7.1 Changes in Farm Size . . . . . 101
5.7.2 Changes in Farm Labor . . . . . 103
5.7.3 Changes in Soybean Price . . . . . 105
5.7.4 Changes in Mango Price . . . . . 110
5.8 Summary . . . . . 114
CHAPTER VI : EFFICIENCY OF RESOURCE UTILIZATION . . . . . 116
6.1 Land Utilization. . . . . 116
6.2 Efficiency of Resource Utilization. . . . . 121
6.3 Output Elasticities and Returns to Scale . . . . . 123
CHAPTER VII : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION . . . . . 127
7.1 Conclusion . . . . . 127
7.2 Recommendation . . . . . 131
REFERENCES . . . . . 133
APPENDICES . . . . . 137
CIRRICULUM VITAE . . . . . 149

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

## LIST OF TABLES


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 3.1 | Land reform farm members and their residences . . . . . | 32 |
| 3.2 | Family profile of LR farms . . . . . | 33 |
| 3.3 | Labor availability and distribution . . . . . | 34 |
| 3.4 | Average farm size of LR farmers . . . . . | 35 |
| 3.5 | Land utilization in LRA in 1989/1990 . . . . . | 36 |
| 3.6 | Degree of land utilization in Chom Thong LRA in 1989/1990 . . . . . | 37 |
| 4.1 | The cropping systems of the farmers' group in the Chom Thong LRA 1989/1990 . . . . . | 42 |
| 4.2 | Classification of farmers . . . . . | 45 |
| 4.3 | Number of soybean growers of dominant cropping systems . . . . . | 46 |
| 4.4 | Resources utilization of soybean production . . . . . | 47 |
| 4.5 | The average production cost, yields, and return to soybean . . . . . | 49 |
| 4.6 | The average production costs, yields, and returns to soybean-tobacco . . . . . | 50 |
| 4.7 | The average production costs, yields, and returns to soybean-mango . . . . . | 51 |
| 4.8 | Resource utilization in production system of farmer groups . . . . . | 53 |
| 4.9 | Soybean yield, cost, and returns . . . . . | 55 |
| 4.10 | Number of tobacco growers of dominant cropping systems . . . . . | 58 |
| 4.11 | Resources utilization or tobacco production . . . . . | 59 |


ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4.12 | Tobacco yield, costs, and returns . . . . . | 61 |
| 4.13 | Resources utilization of tomato production . . . . . | 63 |
| 4.14 | Tomato yield, costs, and returns of tobacco-tomato-mango system . . . . . | 64 |
| 4.15 | Resources utilization of mango production in 1989/1990 . . . . . | 66 |
| 4.16 | Cost and return of mango production for 10 years . . . | 67 |
| 4.17 | Farm size, cultivated area, household income, and consumption (per year) . . . . . | 69 |
| 5.1 | Multiperiod linear model for optimum farm planning of Chom Thong land reform area . . . . . | 82 |
| 5.2 | Income, expense, and cash saving profiles of basic optimum farm plan . . . . . | 84 |
| 5.3 | Resource Utilization and opportunity costs of resources of basic optimum farm plan . . . . . | 84 |
| 5.4 | Income, expense, and cash saving profiles whem farm area equals 10 rai . . . . . | 87 |
| 5.5 | Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources when farm area equals 10 rai . . . . . | 87 |
| 5.6 | Income, expense, and cash saving profiles when farm labor equals 1 person . . . . . | 89 |
| 5.7 | Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources when farm labor equals 1 person . . . . . | 89 |
| 5.8 | Activities, hired labor and total net income under two different conditions . . . . . | 91 |
| 5.9 | Income, expense, and cash saving profiles when tobacco price equals 2.40 baht / kg . . . . . | 93 |


ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

5.10 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources when tobacco price equals 2.40 baht / kg. . . 93

5.11 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles when tomato price equals 2.20 baht / kg . . . . . 95

5.12 Resources utilization and opportunity costs of resources whem tomato price equals 2.20 baht / kg. . . 95

5.13 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles when mango price equals 5.00 baht / kg. . . . . 97

5.14 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources when mango price equals 5.50 baht / kg. . . . 97

5.15 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Northern LRA when farm area equals 5.00 rai. . . . . 99

5.16 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when farm area equals to 5.00 rai . . . . . 99

5.17 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Northern LRA when farm area equals 10 rai . . . . . 102

5.18 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when farm area equals 10 rai . . . . . 102

5.19 Income, expense, and cash saving profile in Northern LRA when farm labor equals 1 person . . . . . 104

5.20 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of unused resources in Northern LRA when farm labor equals 1 person . . . . . 104

5.21 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 5.10 baht /

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

5.22 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 5.10 baht / kg . . . . . 106

5.23 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 10.80 baht / kg . . . . . 108

5.24 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 10.80 baht / kg . . . . . 108

5.25 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 10.90 baht / kg . . . . . 109

5.26 Resource utilization and opportunity costs unused resources in Northern LRA when soybean price equals 10.90 baht / kg . . . . . 109

5.27 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in location when mango price equals 2.65 baht / kg . . . 111

5.28 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when mango price equal 2.65 baht / kg . . . . . 111

5.29 Income, expense, and cash saving profiles in Norhtern LRA when mango price equals 3.75 baht / kg . . 113

5.30 Resource utilization and opportunity costs of resources in Northern LRA when mango price equals 3.75 baht / kg . . . . . 113

5.31 Alternative farm plan for Chom Thong LRA farmers . . . 115

5.32 Alternative farm plan for Northern Chom Thong LRA farmers . . . . . 115

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

6.1 Percentage of farmers partially cultivated LR land . . 117

6.2 Reasons of partial land utilization of Chom Thong LR farmers in 1989/1990 . . . . . 118

6.3 Determinants of land use intensity in LRA and both inside and outside LRA . . . . . 119

6.4 Estimated production functions of tobacco and soybean . . . . . 122

6.5 Comparison of MVPs to input prices . . . . . 124

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

## LIST OF FIGURES


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 2.1 | The optimal level of input use . . . . . | 14 |
| 2.2 | Isocost and isorevenue lines plotted to determine the optimum combination of two enterprises under a given total-cost outlay and a change in production price . . . . . | 17 |
| 3.1 | Chiang Mai province and Chom Thong land reform project location . . . . . | 23 |
| 3.2 | Village location . . . . . | 24 |
| 3.3 | Land suitability for field crops of Chom Thong LRA . . | 28 |
| 3.4 | Land suitability for orchards of Chom Thong LRA . . . . | 29 |
| 3.5 | Total labor share among off farm activities of LR farmers (mandays) . . . . . | 39 |
| 3.6 | Total net cash share among off farm activities of LR farmers (baht) . . . . . | 40 |



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 138 |
| 2 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 138 |
| 3 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean-tobacco of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 139 |
| 4 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean-tobacco of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 140 |
| 5 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean-mango of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 141 |
| 6 | The average production cost, yield, and return to soybean-mango of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 142 |
| 7 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 143 |
| 8 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 143 |
| 9 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco-mango of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 144 |
| 10 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco-mango of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 145 |
| 11 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco-tomato-mango of $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ . . . . . | 146 |
| 12 | The average production cost, yield, and return to tobacco-tomato-mango of $G_{21}$ and $G_{22}$ . . . . . | 147 |


ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

13 statistics of the variables in the land intensity model . . . . . 148

14 Statistics of the variable in the soybean production function . . . . . 148

15 Statistics of the variable in tobacco production function . . . . . 148

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved